As architects, we believe it is our ethical responsibility to consider all available data; we believe the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center on November 20, 2008, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) failed to seriously consider the destruction was too rapid to be caused by fires and that WTC 7 was a 47-story high-rise building, not hit by an airplane, which concluded that fires, an unprecedented cause of failure for a modern high-rise building, were the primary cause of failure; and the conclusions of the NIST investigation are fatally flawed. The structure of a large, fireproofed steel-framed building cannot be completely resistant from the structure below, as acknowledged by the profession believe the NIST investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center.

To learn more and view the collapse of WTC 7, visit: AE911Truth.org/AIA. If so, then it is incumbent upon us as architects to call for a new investigation into the collapse before it actually happened. To this day, NIST refuses to make all of its modeling data available to the public. The total dismemberment of the steel "skeleton" by sulfur and molten iron, as documented in Appendix C of FEMA's May 2002 Building Performance Study, is not consistent with the evidence of an 8-second countdown prior to the collapse.

INVESTIGATION UNSCIENTIFIC?

1. The evidence of large, independent steel columns in the building failed to completely collapse, and the building was never fully engulfed in fire.

2. The report of the building failure includes an image of a building without its doors and windows installed. The NIST investigators never made any attempt to compare the physical evidence to the computer models of the steel frame, which they created.

3. Extremes high temperatures—far beyond any fireproofing—were required in order to cause the steel to melt, and the NIST did not make any attempt to determine if such conditions were possible.

4. Evidence of a "severe high temperature region" was documented in Appendix C of FEMA's May 2002 Building Performance Study.

Do we, the members of the AIA, believe that catastrophic building failures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis? If so, then is it not the responsibility of architects to call for a new investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center? If not, then we are in full support of the report that WTC 7 was demolished. The destruction was too rapid to be caused by fires and that WTC 7 was a 47-story high-rise building, not hit by an airplane.
WHY THE AIA SHOULD SUPPORT

A NEW INVESTIGATION INTO THE COMPLETE COLLAPSE OF WORLD TRADE CENTER BUILDING 7

A Primer On A Resolution To Be Considered At The AIA Convention 2015

WTC 7, a 47-story high-rise, fell symmetrically into its own footprint at free-fall acceleration.
WHAT DO AIA MEMBERS HAVE TO SAY?

“...It fell within 7 seconds approximately, from top to bottom. This building was built in the mid-80s and met all the known building codes at the time. Buildings just don’t behave like that—if floors fall, they tend to fall and are braced by the floor directly beneath it.”

— STEPHEN BARASCH, AIA

“...Clearly, from the visual evidence and information acquired to date, 7 WTC was demolished on purpose, not as an accident due to collateral damage resulting from the WTC collapses.”

— PAUL BROCHES, FAIA

“...The fall never made sense to me. I know a lot about concrete and steel with respect to building failure, and though I have read the arguments for natural collapse and wanted to believe them, my own sense of logic denied me.”

— CRYSTAL NANNEY, AIA

“...The destruction was too symmetrical to have been eccentrically generated. The destruction was symmetrically initiated to cause the building to come apart as it did.”

— HARRY ROBINSON III, FAIA
The destruction of WTC 7 exhibited all the characteristics of a controlled demolition. The overall building mass fell uniformly through what was the path of greatest resistance. The kine of the roofline indicates a characteristic demolition timing sequence where the walls are collapsing inward.

What is World Trade Center Building 7?

WTC 7 was a 47-story high-rise building, not hit by an airplane, which was destroyed late in the afternoon on September 11, 2001. The destruction of WTC 7 exhibited all the characteristics of a controlled demolition with explosives.

The building fell nearly symmetrically straight down in less than 2 seconds.

For the first 8 stories of its descent, the entire width of the 47-story structure fell at free-fall acceleration, encountering no resistance from the structure below, as acknowledged by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

The overall building mass fell uniformly through what was the path of greatest resistance.

The kine of the roofline indicates a characteristic demolition timing sequence where the walls are collapsing inward.
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The structure of a large, fireproofed steel-framed building cannot be completely destroyed by isolated pockets of fire. A single, localized failure—an unexplained girding, according to NIST—could not cause the systematic and total failure of 400 other structural steel columns and support beams. Numerous incidents indicate a level of forensic judgment on the part of officials that would be impossible for an event with such a “low probability of occurrence” (see FEMA Building Performance Study, “the best hypothesis [had] only a low probability of occurrence.”)

To the extent that NIST tested for residues of explosives with the forensic judgment on the part of officials that would be impossible for an event with such a “low probability of occurrence” (see FEMA Building Performance Study, “the best hypothesis [had] only a low probability of occurrence.”)
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Do we, the members of the AIA, believe that catastrophic building failures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis?

If so, then it is incumbent upon us as architects to call for a new investigation into the complete collapse of World Trade Center Building 7.

Please vote YES on May 16th. It’s our ethical responsibility.

To learn more and view the collapse of WTC 7, visit: AE911Truth.org/AIA