February 1, 2021

The Honorable Barbara Lee
2470 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Lee:

On behalf of more than 3,000 architects and engineers, I congratulate you on your election to the 117th United States Congress, and I thank you for your service to our country during this challenging time.

I am writing to you today because public trust in our institutions has never been lower, and although many factors have contributed to this decline, I believe it is the events of September 11, 2001, and our government’s failure to properly investigate those events that have set us on this path.

As we approach the 20th anniversary of 9/11, I urge you to re-examine the destruction of the three World Trade Center skyscrapers and to speak out forcefully in support of a new investigation.

To learn why more than 3,000 professionals, dozens of 9/11 families and first responders, and millions of people around the world are calling for a new investigation, please go to AE911Truth.org and watch the two short videos on our homepage showing the destruction of World Trade Center Building 7 and the North Tower.

From there, I ask you to review the final report of the University of Alaska Fairbanks Building 7 study at AE911Truth.org/WTC7 (abstract enclosed) and to watch our just-released film, SEVEN, about this groundbreaking study. The film is available on several video platforms such as Prime Video and Google Play. Links to these can be found at AE911Truth.org/SEVEN.

I also invite you visit AE911Truth.org/NIST to read about our pending “Request for Correction,” submitted under the Data Quality Act, regarding the official report on Building 7 produced by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Finally, I encourage you to contact me to discuss what steps you would be willing to take to advance this issue of profound importance to the future of our country.

Sincerely,

Richard Gage, AIA
Founder and CEO
Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth
A Structural Reevaluation of the Collapse of World Trade Center 7

Final Report
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ABSTRACT

A Structural Reevaluation of the Collapse of World Trade Center 7
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And
Feng Xiao, Ph.D., Associate Professor
Nanjing University of Science and Technology
Department of Civil Engineering

This report presents the findings and conclusions of a four-year study of the collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 (WTC 7) — a 47-story building that suffered a total collapse at 5:20 PM on September 11, 2001, following the horrible events of that morning.

The objective of the study was threefold: (1) Examine the structural response of WTC 7 to fire loads that may have occurred on September 11, 2001; (2) Rule out scenarios that could not have caused the observed collapse; and (3) Identify types of failures and their locations that may have caused the total collapse to occur as observed.

The UAF research team utilized three approaches for examining the structural response of WTC 7 to the conditions that may have occurred on September 11, 2001. First, we simulated the local structural response to fire loading that may have occurred below Floor 13, where most of the fires in WTC 7 are reported to have occurred. Second, we supplemented our own simulation by examining the collapse initiation hypothesis developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Third, we simulated several scenarios within the overall structural system in order to determine what types of local failures and their locations may have caused the total collapse to occur as observed.

The principal conclusion of our study is that fire did not cause the collapse of WTC 7 on 9/11, contrary to the conclusions of NIST and private engineering firms that studied the collapse. The secondary conclusion of our study is that the collapse of WTC 7 was a global failure involving the near-simultaneous failure of every column in the building.

All input data, results data, and simulations that were used or generated during this study are available at http://ine.uaf.edu/wtc7.
According to a survey conducted by YouGov on behalf of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth on August 19 and 20, 2019, Americans respond in the following way when shown video of the collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 from four different angles.

**WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES YOUR OPINION ON THE CAUSE OF BUILDING 7’S COLLAPSE?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion Type</th>
<th>Suspect It Was</th>
<th>Sure It Was</th>
<th>Total Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Demolition</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary Fires</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27% Don’t Know

**ARE YOU MORE INCLINED TO BELIEVE THE GOVERNMENT’S CONCLUSION THAT FIRES CAUSED BUILDING 7’S COLLAPSE, OR THE CRITICS THAT BELIEVE EXPLOSIVES WERE USED? (QUESTION INCLUDED DESCRIPTION OF EACH POSITION.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion Type</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Total Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critics</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29% Don’t Know

**TO WHAT EXTENT WOULD YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE A NEW INVESTIGATION INTO THE COLLAPSE OF WORLD TRADE CENTER BUILDING 7?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion Type</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Total Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28% Neither Support Nor Oppose

9% Don’t Know

Visit AE911Truth.org/YouGov for the full questionnaire and results. Fifteen percent of respondents surveyed elected not to watch the video and answer these survey questions. All figures, unless otherwise stated, are from YouGov Plc. Total sample size was 1,239 adults, of whom 1,058 were comfortable viewing videos and answering questions about the incident. Fieldwork was undertaken between 19th - 20th August 2019. The survey was carried out online. The figures have been weighted and are representative of all US adults (aged 18+). Survey was commissioned by Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth.