

Inside



Back



WHY THE AIA SHOULD SUPPORT

A NEW INVESTIGATION INTO THE COMPLETE COLLAPSE OF WORLD TRADE CENTER BUILDING 7



A Primer On A Resolution To Be Considered At The AIA Convention 2015

WHAT DO AIA MEMBERS HAVE TO SAY?

It fell within 7 seconds approximately, from top to bottom. This building was built in the mid-80s and met all the known building codes at the time. Buildings just don't behave like that—if floors fall, they tend to fall and are braced by the floor directly beneath it."

— STEPHEN BARASCH, AIA

Clearly, from the visual evidence and information acquired to date, 7 WTC was demolished on purpose, not as an accident due to collateral damage resulting from the WTC collapses."

— PAUL BROCHES, FAIA

The fall never made sense to me. I know a lot about concrete and steel with respect to building failure, and though I have read the arguments for natural collapse and wanted to believe them, my own sense of logic denied me."

- CRYSTAL NANNEY, AIA

The destruction was too symmetrical to have been eccentrically generated. The destruction was symmetrically initiated to cause the building to come apart as it did."

— HARRY ROBINSON III, FAIA



■ We, the 55 AIA members listed below, have submitted this resolution for your consideration at the AIA Convention 2015. As architects, we believe it is our ethical responsibility to call for a new investigation into the collapse of WTC 7.

Paul Adams, AIA Stephen Barasch, AIA Laurie Barlow, AIA Dan Barnum, FAIA Dan Bartlett, AIA Timothy Beecher, AIA Ralph Bennett, AIA Thom Brajkovich, AIA Paul Broches, FAIA

Michael Coffey, AIA Michael Coleman, AIA Kevin Connors, AIA Timothy Davis, AIA Tim Duffy, AIA Merle Easton, AIA Ladd Ehlinger, AIA Jerry Erbach, AIA

Ken Gorski, AlA Scott Hatfield, AIA Ibrahim Kako, Assoc. AIA Alan Kato, AlA Barry Koren, AIA Mike Kwon, AIA leff Laur, AIA Nina La Baron, AIA Thomas Chamberlain, AIA Al Glassman, Assoc. AIA Patrick Lee, AIA

David Mack, Assoc, AIA Kevin McDonough, AIA Patrick McFadden, AIA Martin Michaelis, Assoc. AIA Damon Smith, AIA Christopher Morecraft, AIA James Stafford, AIA George Owen, AIA lohn Pesa. AlA Neil Pinney, AIA Eve Reynolds, AIA

Daniel Roach, AIA Michael Seaman, AIA Kian Shamloo, AIA Michael Stoker, AIA Ray Strang, AIA Richard Wallace, AIA Nate Watkins, Assoc. AIA Andrew Wolff, AIA

TITLE 7 World Trade Center

INTENT To cause the AIA to adopt a Position Statement in support of a new investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center on September 11, 2001.

WHEREAS, under the AIA Public Policies and Position Statements, it is the responsibility of architects to design a resilient environment that can more successfully adapt to natural conditions and that can more readily absorb and recover from adverse events; and

WHEREAS, architects and others involved in the design and construction of buildings depend upon the information obtained from investigations into building failures to inform the development of model building codes; and

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2001, 7 World Trade Center, a 47-story high-rise building, suffered a complete collapse; and

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2008, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) released the final report of its threeyear investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center, which concluded that fires, an unprecedented cause of failure for a modern high-rise building, were the primary cause of failure; and

WHEREAS, the cause of failure identified by the NIST investigation would mean that hundreds of high-rise buildings in the United States are susceptible to similar failure from fire; and

WHEREAS, thousands of members of the architecture and engineering professions, including the 55 sponsors of this resolution, believe the NIST investigation did not adhere to the principles of the scientific method and, as a result, the conclusions of the NIST investigation are fatally flawed;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the AIA Board of Directors shall adopt a Position Statement, to be published in the AIA Directory of Public Policies and Position Statements, stating:

The AIA's belief that incidents involving the catastrophic failure of buildings and other structures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis in order to provide accurate and meaningful information in the development of model building codes;

- The AlA's recognition that many members of the architecture profession believe the NIST investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, did not adhere to the principles of the scientific method and, as a result, the conclusions of the NIST investigation are fatally flawed;
- The AlA's belief that this perspective merits further study; and
- The AlA's support for a new investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that it is advised that this Position Statement be incorporated as Position Statement #3 under the Construction Industry Regulation Public Policy. The recommended language of this Position Statement is as follows:

3. World Trade Center 7

The AIA believes that incidents involving the catastrophic failure of buildings and other structures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis in order to provide accurate and meaningful information in the development of model building codes. In adherence to the scientific method, investigations should:

- Consider all available data;
- Consider hypotheses that most readily explain the available
- Test those hypotheses and analyze the results without bias;
- Provide for external review and replication by making all data

The AIA recognizes that many members of the architecture profession believe the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, did not adhere to these principles and, as a result, the conclusions of the NIST investigation are fatally flawed. The AIA believes this perspective merits further study and supports a new investigation into the complete collapse of 7 World Trade Center.







WHAT IS WORLD TRADE CENTER **BUILDING 7?**

WTC 7 was a 47-story high-rise building, not hit by an airplane, which was destroyed late in the afternoon on September 11, 2001.

The destruction of WTC 7 exhibited all the characteristics of a controlled demolition with explosives:

- The building fell nearly symmetrically straight down in less than 7 seconds.
- For the first 8 stories of its descent, the entire width of the 47-story structure fell at free-fall acceleration, encountering no resistance from the structure below, as acknowledged by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).
- The building transitioned from stasis to free-fall instantaneously with no intermediate period of visible instability.
- The overall building mass fell uniformly through what was the path of greatest resistance.
- The kink in the roofline was characteristic of a demolition timing sequence where the walls are collapsing inward.



The structure of a large, fireproofed steelframed building cannot be completely destroyed by isolated pockets of fire. A single, localized failure—an unseated girder, according to NIST—could not cause the systematic and total failure of 400 other structural steel connections per second.

Numerous incidents indicate a level of foreknowledge on the part of officials that would be impossible for an event with such a "low probability of occurrence" (see FEMA Building Performance Study at right), and that can only be explained by someone possessing foreknowledge that WTC 7 was going to be demolished. These include a witness who overheard the last few seconds of a countdown just prior to the collapse, warnings from officials starting six hours in advance, and both CNN and the BBC reporting the collapse before it actually happened

IN WHAT WAYS WAS THE NIST **INVESTIGATION UNSCIENTIFIC?**

- NIST failed to consider all of the available data, including:
 - The total dismemberment of the steel structure, reduced to a small, compact pile centered within the building's original footprint.
 - Extremely high temperatures—far above those of normal office fires that persisted for weeks in the pile.
 - Evidence of a "severe high temperature corrosion attack on the steel" by sulfur and molten iron, as documented in Appendix C of FEMA's May 2002 Building Performance Study.
 - Evidence of thermite, a pyrotechnic substance that creates molten metal.
- NIST failed to seriously consider the hypothesis that most readily explained the available data. Instead, NIST focused its
 - investigation on a pre-determined conclusion of fire-induced collapse, even though, according to FEMA's Building Performance Study, "the best hypothesis [had] only a low probability of occurrence."
- To the extent that NIST tested for controlled demolition, it demonstrated a strong bias, using simplistic and unsupported claims to dismiss the hypothesis, and choosing not to test for residues of explosives with the circular argument that none would be found.
- To this day, NIST refuses to make all of its modeling data available to the public, claiming that to do so "might jeopardize public safety." Thus, it is impossible for independent researchers to test and replicate the results of NIST's computer modeling.







Do we, the members of the AIA, believe that catastrophic building failures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis?

If so, then it is incumbent upon us as architects to call for a new investigation into the complete collapse of World Trade Center Building 7.

